

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/771,398	02	2/05/2004	Ran Lifshitz	P-3933-US1	3864
	49443	7590	09/20/2006		EXA	MINER
	PEARL CO		•	PAK, JOHN D		
	1500 BROADWAY 12TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•			1616	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	1
~	•

		1	Application No.		Applicant(s)  LIFSHITZ, RAN					
Office Action Summers			10/771,398							
	Office Action Summary	Tī	Examiner		Art Unit					
			JOHN PAK		1616					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	ication appea	ars on the cover sl	neet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Massions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136( unication. tutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COM  (a). In no event, however  apply and will expire SIX ause the application to be	MUNICATION , may a reply be time (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on								
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	ction is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me										
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the a	pplication.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
· ·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
=	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		4:	1						
8)[🔀	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or ele	ection requiremen	ξ.		·				
Applicati	on Papers									
	The specification is objected to by the									
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	-		•						
	Applicant may not request that any object									
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including									
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exai	miner. Note the at	tached Office	Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign p	riority under 35 U.	.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:									
•	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents I	have been receive	∌d.		,				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
	application from the Internation									
- 8	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of	rtne certified copi	es not receive	α.					
•										
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		A) [] 1-4	erview Summary	(PTO-413)					
	e of References Cited (P1O-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Pa	per No(s)/Mail Da	te					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date			itice of Informal Pa her:	atent Application					
raper recis/intail Date										

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1-30 are pending in this application.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 4, 17, drawn to a composition and method for controlling plant diseases caused by pathogenic microorganisms, wherein the metal ion component is copper or zinc, classified in class 424, subclasses 630, 641+.
- II. Claims 4, 17, drawn to a composition and method for controlling plant diseases caused by pathogenic microorganisms, wherein the metal ion component is manganese, classified in class 424, subclass 639+.
- III. Claims 4, 17, drawn to a composition and method for controlling plant diseases caused by pathogenic microorganisms, wherein the metal ion component is calcium, classified in class 424, subclasses 678, 687, 693, 696.
- IV. Claims 4, 17, drawn to a composition and method for controlling plant diseases caused by pathogenic microorganisms, wherein the metal ion component is iron, classified in class 424, subclasses 647, 648.
- V. Claims 4, 17, drawn to a composition and method for controlling plant diseases caused by pathogenic microorganisms, wherein the metal ion component is aluminum, classified in class 424, subclass 684, 685, 690, 691.

Claims 1-3, 5-16 and 18-30 link inventions I to V. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s),

Application/Control Number: 10/771,398

**Art Unit: 1616** 

claims 1-3, 5-16 and 18-30. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The multiple inventions are distinct because they each utilize divergent metal components. To search and examine more than one invention group would place an undue burden on the Examiner. A search and examination of one invention group would already represent serious burden due to the long history and extensive publications of compositions that contain metal substances such as copper, zinc, manganese, calcium, iron and aluminum. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the

Art Unit: 1616

examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Cohen on 8/22/2006 and 8/29/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,398 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to JOHN PAK whose telephone number is (571)272-0620. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's SPE, Johann Richter, can be reached on (571)272-0646.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JUAN PAX PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800